

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,405	MCLOUGHLIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hoang Dang	3672

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Hoang Dang.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert A. Van Someren.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2005

Time: 12:27

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

38, 54 and 62

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent 3,151,681 (Cochran)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner ~~will~~ provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability Part II above.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was told that the word "valve" in claim 38, line 14 has no antecedent basis and should be --valve member--. Applicant also was told that claims 54 and 62 need to recite that the sliding valve is movable between a closed position, an open position and a plurality of intermediate positions to define over the Cochran '681 patent. The Cochran valve is movable between a closed position, an open position and only one intermediate position. Applicant authorized the attached examiner's amendment to put the application in condition for allowance.